



KITTITAS COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

Mark R. Cook, Director, P.E.

January 19, 2017

County Survey review of Short Plat 16-00005 Double K

Required Changes for Final Plat:

1. The dashed lines adjacent to the West boundary of Section 5, within the 60' Access easement should be identified. It is assumed these lines represent a driveway, but that should be clarified.
2. The 60' Easement adjacent to the West boundary of Section 5 should be labeled as "Existing", "Herein Dedicated", or "Created via separate document". If the first or third option is used, the Auditor's File No. shall be included. It should be noted that the easement as shown does not connect to Badger Pocket Road with the full 60' width.
3. Any submittal which includes contours or elevations shall include a reference to the vertical datum used.
4. The following corrections shall be made to the legal description:
Paragraph 2, Line 5: Southeast corner thereof: And **thence** East...
Paragraph 4, Line 6: to the center section line; thence **North** 89-59...

Of Note:

Due to time constraints in meeting the deadline for county review, the County Surveyor was unable to complete review of Lot Closure sheets. Closure review will be done, and if any issues arise, Mr. Cruse will be contacted directly.

Particular Elements of Code not enforced in this review

1. 16.20.020(2) Conflicts with RCW:59.09.050
2. 16.020.040(15) Unnecessary
3. 16.024.030(2) Refer to Surveyors discretion and RCW:58.09.120
4. 16.024.050 Refer to Surveyors discretion and RCW:58.09.120
5. 16.24.160 Conflicts with RCW:58.09.080
6. WAC:332-130-040(4) The South Quarter corner of Section 5 does not meet minimum requirements of 2 section corner monument ties, OR 3 reference ties. Kittitas County has already identified and referenced this particular corner, but has not yet filed a Land Corner record. It is unnecessary for the surveyor to prepare the same documents.

Respectfully,

Justin Turnbull
County Surveyor